

Science News

[Share](#) [Blog](#) [Cite](#)[Print](#) [Email](#) [Bookmark](#)

Why It's Hard to Crash the Electric Grid

ScienceDaily (Oct. 12, 2010) — A new study shows why it would be hard for terrorists to bring down the US electric grid.

See Also:

Matter & Energy

- [Electricity](#)
- [Energy Technology](#)

Computers & Math

- [Distributed Computing](#)
- [Computer Science](#)

Science & Society

- [Energy Issues](#)
- [Environmental Policies](#)

Reference

- [Electric power transmission](#)
- [Distributed generation](#)
- [Electric power](#)
- [Grid computing](#)

Last March, the U.S. Congress heard testimony about a scientific study in the journal *Safety Science*. A military analyst worried that the paper presented a model of how an attack on a small, unimportant part of the U.S. power grid might, like dominoes, bring the whole grid down. Members of Congress were, of course, concerned. Then, a similar paper came out in the journal *Nature* the next month that presented a model of how a cascade of failing interconnected networks led to a blackout that covered Italy in 2003.

These two papers are part of a growing reliance on a particular kind of mathematical model -- a so-called topological model -- for understanding complex systems, including the power grid.

And this has University of Vermont power-system expert Paul Hines concerned.

"Some modelers have gotten so fascinated with these abstract networks that they've ignored the physics of how things actually work -- like electricity infrastructure," Hines says, "and this can lead you grossly astray."

For example, the *Safety Science* paper came to the "highly counter-intuitive conclusion," Hines says, that the smallest, lowest-flow parts of the electrical system -- say a minor substation in a neighborhood -- were likely to be the most effective spots for a targeted attack to bring down the U.S. grid.

"That's a bunch of hooey," says Seth Blumsack, Hines's colleague at Penn State.

Hines and Blumsack's recent study, published in the journal *Chaos* on Sept. 28, found just the opposite. Drawing on real-world data from the Eastern U.S. power grid and accounting for the two most important laws of physics governing the flow of electricity, they show that "the most vulnerable locations are the ones that have most flow through them," Hines says. Think highly connected transformers and major power-generating stations. Score one point for common sense.

"If the government takes these topological models seriously," Hines says, "and changes their investment strategy to put walls around the substations that have the least amount of flow -- it would be a massive waste of resources."

At the speed of light

Many topological models are, basically, graphs of connected links and nodes that represent the flows and paths within a system. When a node changes or fails, its nearest connected neighbor will often change or fail next. This abstraction has provided profound insights into many complex systems, like river networks, supply chains, and highway traffic. But electricity is strange and the US electric grid even stranger.

In August of 2003 a blackout started in Ohio and then spread to New York City. Cleveland went down and soon Toronto



Electricity pylon. (Credit: iStockphoto/Joe Gough)

Related Stories

New System Provides Hybrid Electric Autos With Power to Spare (Feb. 5, 2010) — An advancement in hybrid electric vehicle technology is providing powerful benefits beyond ... > [read more](#)

Electric Avenue: Electric Cars on a Two-Way Street? (Feb. 19, 2010) — Think of it as the end of cars' slacker days: no more sitting idle for hours in parking lots or garages racking up payments, but instead earning their keep by helping store power for the electricity ... > [read more](#)



Will America's Power Grid Be Able To Keep Pace With Future Demand? (May 8, 2009) — America's power grid today resembles the country's canal system of the 19th Century. A marvel of engineering for its time, the canal system eventually could not keep pace with the growing demands of ... > [read more](#)



Charging Up Electric Car Batteries in Environmentally-Friendly Way (July 24, 2010) — Electromobility makes sense only if car batteries are charged using electricity from renewable energy sources. But the supply of green electricity is not always adequate. An intelligent charging ... > [read more](#)

New System Trains Good Grid Operators With Bad Data (June 26, 2006) — Power grid operators now can train like pilots -- with simulators providing faulty readings designed to throw them off. Thanks to new training tools, operators can

Just In:

[Whale Poop Pumps Up Ocean Health](#)

Science Video News



Cars of Tomorrow

Mechanical and electrical engineers at DaimlerChrysler, General Motors and BMW have jointly developed a hybrid-vehicle technology that shuts the. ... > [full story](#)

[Engineer Designs More Efficient, Quieter Bus](#)
[Engineers Create Virtual Crash Test Dummies](#)
[Mechanical Engineers' Device Helps Electricity Conservation](#)

[more science videos](#)

Breaking News

... from NewsDaily.com

[First patient treated in Geron stem cell trial](#)



[Brazil eyes microchips in trees for forest management](#)

[New crew arrives to oversee space station's completion](#)

[UK scientists protest against expected research cuts](#)

[Scientists to look for China's Bigfoot](#)

[more science news](#)

In Other News ...

[China steps up retaliation against Norway for Nobel](#)

[Higher costs, risk lasting legacy of Gulf spill](#)

[Chile's trapped miners finally set to escape](#)

[White House opposes broad foreclosure moratorium](#)

[Pfizer to buy King Pharma for \\$3.6 billion in cash](#)

[Wall Street stresses jobs and taxes after election](#)

[Pakistan key to any Afghan peace settlement: Gilani](#)

[Hurricane Paula heads for Mexico's Yucatan coast](#)

[more top news](#)

Copyright Reuters 2008. See [Restrictions](#).

Free Subscriptions

... from ScienceDaily

Get the latest science news with our free email newsletters, updated daily and weekly. Or view hourly updated newsfeeds in your RSS reader:

[Email Newsletters](#)

[RSS Newsfeeds](#)

Feedback

... we want to hear from you!

Tell us what you think of the new ScienceDaily -- we welcome both positive and negative comments.

was affected. The blackout was able to jump over long distances.

"The way topological cascades typically occur -- is they're more like real dominoes," says Hines, an assistant professor in UVM's College of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences. "When you push a domino the only thing that can fall is the one next to it. Whereas in a power grid you might push one domino and the next one to fall might be a hundred miles away."

That's because, "when a transmission line fails -- instantly, at nearly the speed of light, everything changes. Everything that is connected will change just a little bit," Hines says, "But in ways that are hard to predict." This strangeness is compounded by the fact that the U.S. electric grid is more an intractable patchwork of history than a rational design.

Which is why he and Blumsack decided to "run a horse race," he says, between topological models and a physics-based one -- applied to the actual arrangement of the North American Eastern Interconnect, the largest portion of the U.S. electric grid.

Using real-world data from a 2005 North American Electric Reliability Corporation test case, they compared how vulnerable parts of the grid appeared in the differing models. The topological measures -- so-called "characteristic path lengths" and "connectivity loss" between nodes -- came up with dramatically different and less accurate results than a model that calculated blackout size driven by the two rules that most influence actual electric transmissions -- Ohm's and Kirchhoff's laws.

In other words, the physics horse won. Or, as their paper concludes, "evaluating vulnerability in power networks using purely topological metrics can be misleading," and "results from physics-based models are more realistic and generally more useful for infrastructure risk assessment." Score one for gritty reality.

The value of unpredictability

An important implication of Hines's work, funded by the National Science Foundation, is that electric grid is probably more secure than many people realize -- because it is so unpredictable. This, of course, makes it hard to improve its reliability (in another line of research, Hines has explored why the rate of blackouts in the United States hasn't improved in decades), but the up-side of this fact is that it would be hard for a terrorist to bring large parts of the grid down by attacking just one small part.

"Our system is quite robust to small things failing -- which is very good," he says, "Even hurricanes have trouble taking out power systems. Hurricanes do cause power system failures, but they don't often take out the whole system."

Blumsack agrees. "Our paper confirms that it would be possible for somebody who wanted to do something disruptive to the power grid to do so," he says. "A lot of the infrastructure is out in the open," which does create vulnerability to planned attack. "But if you wanted to black out half of the U.S., it will be much more difficult than some of these earlier models imply," he says.

"If you were a bad guy, there is no obvious thing to do to take out the power system," Hines says. "What we learned from doing the simulations is that if you take out the biggest substation, with the most flow, you get the biggest failure on average. But there were also a number of cases where, even if you took out the biggest one, you don't get much of a blackout."

"It takes an incredible amount of information," he says, "to really figure out how to make the grid fail."

Email or share this story:

| [More](#)

Story Source:

The above story is reprinted (with editorial adaptations by ScienceDaily staff) from materials provided by [University of Vermont](#).

Journal Reference:

off -- thanks to new training tools created by DOE's Pacific Northwest National ... > [read more](#)

Transmission Congestion Threatens To Clog Nation's Power Grid (July 27, 2006) —

Inadequate investment in the power grid transmission network remains the Achilles heel of the nation's electric system, an engineer who specializes in utility policy at the University of Illinois at ... > [read more](#)

Smart Grid Cyber Security: Initial Set of Guidelines Finalized (Sep. 15, 2010) —

The U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology has issued its first Guidelines for Smart Grid Cyber Security, including high-level security requirements, a framework for assessing risks, an ... > [read more](#)

Scientists Explore Putting Electric Cars On A Two-Way Power Street (Oct. 12, 2008) —

Think of it as the end of cars' slacker days: No more sitting idle for hours in parking lots or garages racking up payments, but instead earning their keep by providing power to the electricity grid. ... > [read more](#)

Have any problems using the site? Questions?

Your Name:

Your Email:

Comments:

Click button to submit feedback:

Send It

1. Paul Hines, Eduardo Cotilla-Sanchez, Seth Blumsack. **Do topological models provide good information about electricity infrastructure vulnerability?** *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 2010; 20 (3): 033122 DOI: [10.1063/1.3489887](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3489887)

Need to cite this story in your essay, paper, or report?
Use one of the following formats:

- APA University of Vermont (2010, October 12). Why it's hard to crash the electric grid.
- MLA *ScienceDaily*. Retrieved October 12, 2010, from <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/10/101012121443.htm>

Note: If no author is given, the source is cited instead.

Search ScienceDaily

Number of stories in archives: 92,524

Find with keyword(s):

Search

Enter a keyword or phrase to search ScienceDaily's archives for related news topics, the latest news stories, reference articles, science videos, images, and books.

[About ScienceDaily®](#) | [Editorial Staff](#) | [Awards & Reviews](#) | [Contribute News](#) | [Advertise With Us](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms of Use](#)

Copyright © 1995-2010 ScienceDaily LLC — All rights reserved — Contact: editor@sciencedaily.com

Note: This web site is not intended to provide medical advice, diagnosis or treatment.

Part of the iVillage Your Total Health Network